UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,206	11/03/2003	Barton J. Milburn	FORE-105	4180	
Angol M. Soby	7590 01/10/2008		EXAM	INER	
Ansel M. Schwartz Suite 304			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
201 N. Craig Street Pittsburgh, PA 15213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/700,206		MILBURN ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
,		Steven H.D N	guyen	2619					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	_		orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period for	or Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN A LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailine department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, it and will apply and will expute, cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be time pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this composition (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2007							
,—			final						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
ا ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	·	Zx parto quay.	5, 1000 0.21 11, 10						
Disposit	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-13}$ is/are pending in the applicatio	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
· -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.							
,—	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e					

10/700,206 Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because a single claim which claims both an apparatus and the method, is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is directed into both a "process" and a "machine," and overlaps two different statutory classes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bakre (USP 6671276) in view of (USP 6496479).

As claims 1, 5 and 8-9 Barke discloses a method and switch comprising at least one fabric (Fig 4, Ref 9) for switching connections; at least one input mechanism (Fig 4, Ref 15 and 16) for receiving connections from the network; a plurality of output mechanisms (Fig 4, Ref 15-16) for sending connections to the network, with at least one of the output mechanisms non-modifiable (Fig 4, Ref 16); and a controller (Fig 3, Ref ATM plane) which dynamically modifies parameters for the connections of the fabric, the input mechanism, and the plurality of the output mechanisms except for the non-modifiable output mechanism based on a modify signal, the

Application/Control Number:

10/700,206 Art Unit: 2619

controller modifying the non-modifiable output mechanism by destroying the connections of the non-modifiable output mechanism and then recreating the connections of the non-modifiable output mechanism subject to the modified parameters while the input mechanism, output mechanisms, fabric and connections are active and operating (See col. 16, lines 24-45, the inter LIS are adjusted to the modified QOS parameters and non-modifiable output connections are destroyed and the new connections are established before conveying traffic). However, Bakre fails to disclose connections being PVx or SVx. In the same field of endeavor, Shionozaki discloses a method and system for establishing and releasing the connections for SVC and PVC (Col. 8, line 58 to col. 9, line 8).

Since, PVC and SVC are well known in the ATM art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for using PVC or SVC in the multicast session as disclosed by Shionozaki into the teaching of Barkre. the motivation would have been to reduce setup time after receiving a request for a new QOS.

As claims 2 and 7, Bakre implicitly discloses cells of a connection of the non-modifiable output mechanism that is modified are discarded after the connection is destroyed and until the connection is recreated (Col. 8, line 58 to col. 9, line 8).

As claims 3-4, Bakre discloses the input mechanism is an input netmod and the output mechanism is an output netmod (Fig 4, Ref 9).

As claim 6, Bakre discloses the recreating the connections within 50 milliseconds (Col. 8, line 58 to col. 9, line 8).

10/700,206 Art Unit: 2619

As claims 10-13, Bakre discloses parameters are associated with a specific QOS (quality of service) and modified parameters are a different QOS (Col. 8, line 58 to col. 9, line 8).

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven H.D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayanti Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/700,206 Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven H.D Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2619